This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.ispic gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/053,763	01/18/2002	Steve Belson	10010396-1	5531
7	590 03/28/2003			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Itellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, TULSIDAS C	
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2839	·
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		<u> </u>			
		Application N	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/053,763	BELSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		T. C. Patel	2839			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
THE N - Extending after to the second of the	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	oply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)🖾 -	The drawing(s) filed on 18 January 2002 is/are:	a)☐ accepted or b)☒ obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) 🔲 .	The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
15) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachmen		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

General Status

1. This is a First Action on the Merits. Claims 1-22 are pending in the case.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the a computer with power supply as recited in claims 15-21 and display, keyboard, mouse etc., recited in claim 22, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in-
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being

Art Unit: 2839

examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Hagan (US 3,938,068).

Hagan in figures 1-4, discloses an electrical adapter 20, comprising inside AC connector including first, second and ground pins 36, 36, 38, and outside AC connector with first slot 44 connected to the first pin, second slot 44 connected to the second pin, and a ground slot 48 connected to the ground pin; and a ground wire 72 electrically connected to the ground pin and ground slot, wherein the ground wire is configured to be connected to a chassis 80 (column 5, lines 5-10), allowing movement of the connector in at least one axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4, 6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hagan (US 3,938,068) in view of Haas et al. (US 5,735,712).

Application/Control Number: 10/053,763

Art Unit: 2839

As discussed above, Hagan et al. satisfies the limitation of claims 1, 3, 5 and 7. However, Hagan et al. does not disclose EMI gasket between the chassis and the connector. Haas et al. in figures 3-8, discloses a conductive gasket 74 surrounding a connector and in contact with a chassis 202,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the connector of Hagan et al. and provide a conductive gasket as taught by Haas et al. so that a continuous electric path is provided between the connector and the chassis (column 5, lines 1-6). In so far as recitation of specific industry standards recited in claims 4, 8, 11 and 14 are concerned, it is considered within the skill of ordinary person in the art, to design the adapter to meet the applicable industry standard.

7. Claims 9-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 5,852,544) in view of Hagan (US 3,938,068) and Haas et al. (US 5,735,712).

Lee, in figures 1-3, discloses a computer system with a power supply unit 40, chassis 30 and related connectors at the chassis. Hagan et al. disclose the missing elements of connector, and Haas et al disclose the conductive gasket.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the computer system of Lee and provide connector as taught by Hagan et al. and also provide conductive gasket as taught by Haas et al. so that the connector is properly grounded. For claim 22, processor, keyboard, mouse, and display system for the computer are inherent, as well as are well known in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,763

Art Unit: 2839

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's invention. Prifogle et al. (US 3,382,355), Vanderheyden et al. (US 4,296,390), Young (US 4,401,355) and Sarraf (US 4,926,291) all disclose connector with wire, conductive gasket and computer system.

Applicant also should consider these references in response to this office action.

Should issue arise concerning the rejection presented above, these references may be relied upon in a subsequent action to support the lack of novelty or obviousness of claimed subject matter to one of ordinary skill in the art.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. C. Patel whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild can be reached on (703) 308-2710. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,763

Art Unit: 2839

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1736.

TEPATA

T. C. Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2839

tcp March 25, 2003